FACT SHEET

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) & Myanmar

Mandate

The ICJ is mandated to:

- Handle contentious cases: The ICJ makes a decision on a legal dispute between two countries, for example, a dispute about the application of an international treaty or convention.
- Give advice: The ICJ gives advisory opinions on legal questions referred by the UN.

What is happening at the ICJ regarding Myanmar?

- Myanmar is a party to the Genocide Convention. Other State Parties to the Convention may submit to the ICJ a dispute with Myanmar relating to its interpretation, application, or fulfilment of the Convention, including a dispute about Myanmar's responsibility for genocide.
- This means that another country may ask the ICJ judges to make a decision about Myanmar's responsibility for genocide against the Rohingya or against other ethnic minorities.
- On 11 November 2019, an African country, The Gambia, filed an application at the ICJ against the Myanmar State for violating obligations under the Genocide Convention (including committing genocide, incitement, attempt to commit, failure to prevent, failure to punish, etc).
- An initial hearing took place at the ICJ in The Hague (in The Netherlands) on 10-12 December 2019, to discuss issues of jurisdiction and "provisional measures." Provisional measures are actions that the ICJ can order the parties to take while the case is working its way through the ICJ process.
- On 23 January 2020, the ICJ judges issued an order to Myanmar to implement certain provisional measures. (*See below.*)
- Currently, it is unknown when the court will take its next action in relation to the case, and it may be years before a final judgement is issued.

What is the difference between the ICJ and the ICC?

- The ICJ (International Court of Justice) resolves legal disputes between countries. It looks at the responsibility of governments, and not at the responsibility of individual perpetrators.
- The ICC (International Criminal Court) puts individual people on trial. It looks at individual criminal responsibility.

What was the ICJ order against Myanmar?

- On 23 January 2020, the judges ordered Myanmar to implement provisional measures because there is a serious risk of genocide. The decision was unanimous. All the judges on the panel agreed, including the judge appointed by Myanmar.
- The ICJ ordered that:
 - 1. Myanmar must take all measures to prevent acts of genocide against the Rohingya, such as killing and causing serious harm.
 - 2. Myanmar must ensure that the military and other armed forces under its control and influence do not commit acts of genocide.
 - 3. Myanmar must preserve the evidence of genocide.
 - 4. Myanmar must report to the ICJ within 4 months, and then every 6 months until there is a final decision in the case.
- According to the Charter of the United Nations (UN), the decision is legally binding on Myanmar. Myanmar must comply with the order. The decision will be transmitted to the UN Security Council which has the power to take action if the order is not implemented.



What are the next steps?

- The decision of 23 January 2020 was only on the guestion of provisional measures. The ICJ has not decided on the main issues raised by The Gambia when it filed the case (the "merits" of the case). This means that the ICJ has not decided yet that genocide took place. It only decided that there is a serious risk of genocide and that provisional measures are necessary.
- It may take years for the ICJ to reach a decision on the main case (the "merits"). There will be a long procedure before that.

Order

Myanmar to ensure

The ICJ could require Myanmar to

collaborate with the International Criminal

Court (ICC), the new investigative

mechanism (IIMM), and any other

accountability mechanisms or

tribunals, for example, by allowing them access to the country for their investigations

When there is a decision on the main case in the future, the ICJ could:

Order reparations for the

victims

The ICJ could order that reparations be

implemented for survivors, for example in

the form of compensation (although it is

not clear whether this can be done in a case

brought by a State which is not an

injured party).

Order Myanmar to ensure non-repetition

The ICJ could demand that Myanmar change discriminatory laws and policies that enabled the violations, including, for example, amending the 1982 citizenship law. The ICJ could also order structural reforms, such as improving the Myanmar domestic legal system and removing amnesty provisions from the punishment of perpetrators Constitution

Ultimately, the ICJ could decide to declare that Myanmar committed genocide, and that Myanmar failed in its duty to prevent and punish genocide

Recognize

Myanmar's

Responsibility

- The provisional measures ordered against Myanmar in January 2020 may help prevent more violations against the Rohingya.
- The ICJ order will increase pressure on Myanmar by increasing the scrutiny of the international community. The simple fact that the case is in the public eye, including with regular reporting to the court by Myanmar, may act as a deterrent against further abuses.
- It also increases pressure on the UN Security Council to take significant action on human rights violations in Myanmar.
- The case forces Myanmar to respond to genocide allegations publicly in a formal judicial setting, and exposes their policies and practices in relation to the Rohingya.
- In the future, if the ICJ decides that Myanmar is responsible for genocide, Myanmar would face significant political pressure at the international level to implement the changes ordered by the ICJ.
- All ICJ judgments are final, with no option of appeal. If a State does not respect the ruling, the matter can be referred to the UN Security Council.



English - January 2020 asia-ajar.org

2 — Independent Investigative Mechanism for Myanmar

--- Internationa Criminal Court

Limitations

- It may be difficult to implement the order on provisional measures, as well as any other future decision against Myanmar, because the ICJ has no direct way to enforce its decisions.
- The ICJ case process is very long. It takes many years for the full case to finish, and there is no guarantee of success.
- The ICJ will focus only on the issue of genocide. It will only look at violations against the Rohingya and not at violations in other areas of Myanmar, such as war crimes and crimes against humanity in Kachin and Shan States.
- It is very difficult to prove genocide in the legal sense; it is especially difficult to prove the element of "genocidal intent." If the ICJ concludes that there was no genocide in the legal sense, the decision might be counter-productive and be a propaganda victory for the Myanmar government and the Tatmadaw.

